

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,734	08/25/2006	Jerome Bernard	2121-0191PUS1	1220
2392 7599 10/02/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			JIANG, DONG	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	FLECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590,734 BERNARD ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DONG JIANG 1646 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Currently, claims 1-43 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

Application/Control Number: 10/590,734

Art Unit: 1646

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 2, drawn to a peptide which is a part of the epitope in human IL-15 responsible for high-affinity binding to the receptor, and has the sequence of the region of hIL-15.

Group II, claim(s) 3, drawn to a nucleic acid coding for the peptide.

Group III, claim(s) 4-15 and 27-30, 37, drawn to an IL-15 mutein, a fragment thereof, and a drug thereof, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 agonist.

Group IV, claim(s) 4-7, 16-28 and 31-33, 39, drawn to an IL-15 mutein, and a fragment thereof, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 antagonist.

Group V, claim(s) 34-36 in part, drawn to a nucleic acid coding for the mutein or a fragment thereof, a vector containing the nucleic acid and a host cell thereof, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 agonist.

Group VI, claim(s) 34-36 in part, drawn to a nucleic acid coding for the mutein or a fragment thereof, a vector containing the nucleic acid and a host cell thereof, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 antagonist.

Group VII, claim(s) 38, drawn to use of an IL-15 mutein or a fragment thereof for the manufacture of an anti-cancer or anti-immunodeficiency drug, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 agonist.

Group VIII, claim(s) 40, drawn to use of an IL-15 mutein or a fragment thereof for the manufacture of an anti-immunodeficiency drug, wherein the mutein or the fragment is an IL-15 antagonist.

Group IX, claim(s) 41-43, drawn to a process for screening for an IL-15 agonist or antagonist.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,734

Art Unit: 1646

The inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R., the main invention (Group I) in the instant application comprises the invention first mentioned in the claims, that is a peptide which is a part of the epitope in human IL-15. Groups I and II lack unity of invention because even though the nucleic acid of Group II invention encodes the peptide of Group I invention, neither makes a contribution over the prior art in view of Grabstein et al. (US5,552,303). Grabstein discloses a nucleic acid SEO ID NO:4, which encodes a human epithelium-derived T-cell factor (EFT) having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:6. Grabstein's EFT of SEQ ID NO:6 has the present sequences of SEQ ID NO:4 and 6 with 100% sequence identity. As such, the Grabstein reference renders the present claim 1, among the other, not novel. As neither the nucleic acid nor the encoded peptide is novel, the technical feature of the polypeptide sequence is not special, and the groups do not share a special technical feature, and are not so linked by a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Additionally, the other claimed products in groups III-VI are physically and/or functionally distinct chemical entities, do not share structure and/or function with that of Group I, and therefore, are not so linked to the main invention by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single general inventive concept. The additional methods of groups VII-IX do not correspond to the main invention, as they are neither a method of making, nor a method of using said peptide. Therefore, they are not considered to share a special technical feature with the main invention within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, and thus do not relate to a single invention concept within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.1.

Species Election

If applicants elect group I, a further election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species 1-3: SEQ ID NO:4, 6 and 67, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,734

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: none.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Each SEQ ID NO sets forth above represents a distinct chemical entity, and has different structural properties, and therefore, each does not share a special technical feature with the other within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, and thus do not relate to a single invention concept within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1646

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Fallure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dong Jiang

whose telephone number is 571-272-0872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -

Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dong Jiang/, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646

9/22/08